

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 16 2007

ROACH, BROWN, McCARTHY & GRUBER, P.C.

1620 Liberty Building
Buffalo, New York 14202
(716) 852-0400
FAX
(716) 852-2535

TO: Shun K. Lee - Art Unit 2884
COMPANY: USPTO
FAX NO.: 1-571-273-8300
FROM: Kevin D. McCarthy
RE: 09/744,148 (0-06-190 - 4962/US/98)
DATE: March 16, 2007
NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED: COVER + 11 = 12
MESSAGE: Response and Amendment 11 pages

PLEASE CONTACT _____ IMMEDIATELY IF ALL PAGES ARE NOT
RECEIVED OR IF WE ARE DISCONNECTED DURING TRANSMISSION.

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: The information contained in this facsimile transmission is attorney privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the addressee named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile transmission to us by mail.
Thank you.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 16 2007

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that this correspondence along with other possible documents has been deposited with the U.S. Postal Service by first class mail, postage pre-paid, in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop Missing Parts, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on March 16, 2006.

Typed Name: Kevin D. McCarthy
Date: March 16, 2006

Patent 0-06-190 (4962/US/98)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Elstein et al.
Serial no.: 09/744,148
Filed: February 27, 2002
Title: SOLAR BLIND UV VIEWING APPARATUS AND CAMERA
Examiner: Shun K. Lee
Art Unit: 2884

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

Response and Amendment

This response is submitted in reply to the office action mailed on March 13, 2006.

In the office action, the examiner wrote, "The amendment document filed on 18 December 2006 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item is required. . . . Amendments to the claims: A complete listing of all of the claims is not present."

Applicant agrees with the examiner's position because claim 51 was inadvertently not included even though it should have been cancelled.

The USPTO wrote on the office action form that for the above-identified non-compliant issue, "the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121." In view of those instructions, we enclose only a corrected version of the claim section of the amendment document filed on 18 December 2006.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY